

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,830	04/07/2004		Jyh-Perng Huang	JIIL08	8496	
7	7590 08/08/2006			EXAMINER		
J.C. Patents Suite 250				PATEL, TAJASH D		
4 Venture				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Irvine, CA 92	2618			3765		
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/820,830		HUANG ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tejash D. Pa		3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ess			
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this comm) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/7/0	04 (Pre-Amdt)	<u>!</u> .					
′	,—							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	awn from cons						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)] objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• , ,		• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been ority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	age			
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal					
3) 🔲 Inforr	r No(s)/Mail Date	,	Notice of Informal Pa		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lasch et al (US 6,677,028). Lasch et al. (hereinafter Lasch) discloses a vest, col. 21, lines 42 including a top layer/face member (52, 80) having a plastic film layer of a transparent form, col. 13, lines 24-26, a middle layer with a woven layer containing plastic optical fibers in a bundle form (86) as shown in figures 8 and 9b. Further, a bottom layer is of a web like woven layer, col. 19, lines 1-17. Also, the plastic optical fibers are woven in both lengthwise and crosswise as shown in figure 9b. However, Lasch does not the top layer having indicia thereon.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the top layer of Lasch with indicia thereon as a matter of design choice or depending on the end use thereof.

Further, with regard to claims 3, 4 and 5, col. 18, lines 47-52 or Lasch discloses that the woven plastic fibers of the middle layer can be made of desired composition of filaments.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at either the lengthwise, crosswise or both the fiber bundles of the middle layer of Lasch can be made of the desired material as required for a particular application or end use thereof.

Further, it would have been obvious that the vest of Lasch having a laminated structure can be connected to a light emitting unit as conventionally known in the art of warning devices.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993.

The fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

August 4, 2006

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER